

MuSEUM and Its Systematic Uncertainty

Yasuhiro Ueno 上野恭裕 University of Tokyo Matsuda Lab. M1

- 1. MuSEUM (motivation, set up, uncertainties)
- 2. Muon beam profile monitor(BPM) and beam test



# What Is MuSEUM Experiment?

- Muonium Spectroscopy Experiment Using Microwave
- Precise measurement of <u>muonium hyperfine structure</u> (MuHFS)
   @J-PARC

 $\Delta \nu(exp) = 4\,463\,302\,776(51)$  Hz (11 ppb) Liu, et al. PRL82 771(1999)



"There is a reason physicists are so successful with what they do, and that is they study the hydrogen atom and the helium ion and then they stop."

– R. P. Feynman



# Why Muonium Hyperfine Structure

- Indeed! Hydrogen(-like) atom spectroscopy played an essential role in understanding physics (e.g.) Bohr Model, Lamb shift, bound QED... etc.
- **The finite-size of proton,** however, prevents physicists from testing quantum electrodynamics (QED).
- <u>Muonium</u> = positive muon (µ<sup>+</sup>) + electron (e<sup>-</sup>) → <u>purely leptonic</u>
   <u>(two 'point like' particles)</u>

#### Stringent Test of Bound-State QED

Muonium →Two point-like particles no proton structure effect

#### **Determination of Muon Mass**

An external parameter for muon g-2 experiment @J-PARC(E34) or Fermilab Contribution to new physics search

# Experimental Set Up

















#### Uncertainties

#### Previous experiment @Los Alamos Meson Physics Facility(LAMPF)



Liu, et al. PRL82, 711(1999)

# Muon Beam Profile Monitor (BPM)

**On-Line Beam Profile Monitor** 

Off-Line Beam Profile Monitor (Main Topic of today)



# **On-line Beam Profile Monitor**

- Designed and developed by S. Kanda (U. Tokyo)
- Composite of very thin (~100µm) scintillation fibers



Fiber hodoscope for beam stability monitoring Pulse by pulse measurement of profile and intensity

S. Kanda. J-PARC symp.

#### Off-line 3D Beam Profile Monitor

- design and development by T. U. Ito, JAEA
- Composite of **Scintillator**, Gated Image Intensifier (IIF) and **CCD camera**
- Determination of muon stopping distribution



S. Kanda. J-PARC symp.

## Beam Test @J-PARC

- Aim
  - Establish the operation of beam profile monitor
  - Evaluate the performance of the monitor







## Reconstruction of 3D Distribution

Acquired image



Calibration for beam intensity is done

### Future Prospects

# Reconstruct the muon distribution

Operational test under magnetic field

Improvement of scintillation sector

# Summary

- Aim of MuSEUM: determination of the values of muonium HFS
- Demonstration of beam profile monitor has been done
- Data analysis is ongoing
- Further study for muon stopping distribution and improvements follows

#### JPS @WASEDA, 21st MARCH, 2015 A.M.(DF room)

# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!!

# CPT and Lorentz invariance



- Hyperfine transition frequency can exhibit sidereal time oscillation as the earth rotates
- The bound of Lorentz violation parameter for muon sector (obtained from the previous Mu HFS)

 $\sqrt{(\tilde{b}_X^{\mu})^2 + (\tilde{b}_Y^{\mu})^2} \le 2 \times 10^{-23} \text{ GeV}.$ 

#### Muon mass

- LAMPF experiment (last MuHFS experiment) decided  $m_{\mu}$  (120 ppb)
- CODATA  $m_{\mu} \rightarrow 30 \text{ ppb}$
- CODATA = LAMPF+other theoretical calculations

## muonium HFS VS positronium HFS

- $\mu_{\mu}/\mu_{p} \rightarrow$  contribution to g-2 experiment on  $\mu$ +
- positronium HFS → strong recoil effect, annihilation effect
- positronium HFS uncertainty ~ppm A. Ishida, Ph.D. Thesis, (2014)
   while muonium HFS uncertainty ~10 ppb
- positronium HFS ~200GHz muonium 4GHz

# $\mu_{\mu}/\mu_{p}$ ratio

- With these assumption, we can determine the muonproton magnetic moment ratio
  - QED is correct
  - No SUSY
  - α and R∞ is well determined (i.e. they are external parameters)

## 1s-2s VS HFS

- The energy scale of hyperfine splitting is much smaller than that of 1s-2s transition
- →better absolute energy resolution (i.e. better sensitivity to CPT and Lorentz violation)

#### Beam test - Validation-Gas Pressure





#### 0.1 0.3 0.5 0.7 1.0 [atm]

# Magnetic Field

- LAMPF experiment: the muon-stopping area excessed the area where magnetic field was precisely measured→Large uncertainty related to magnetic field
- MuSUEM suppress these uncertainties from both sides magnetic field and muon stopping distribution
- Best effort has been (will be) done to reduce magnetic-field uncertainty
- To suppress the uncertainty from muon stopping distribution, <u>Muon</u> <u>beam profile monitor is essential</u>

## Why Muonium Hyperfine Structure

- Muonium HFS is a good probe for <u>bound QED theory</u>
- the experiment also determines <u>muon mass</u>
   →better input parameter for new muon g-2 experiment at J-PARC and Fermilab

$$\nu_{12} - \nu_{34} \propto m_{\mu}/m_p$$

Test of <u>CPT and Lorentz</u>
 <u>invariance</u>

R. Bluhm, et al. PRL84, 1098(2000)

$$40$$
  
 $40$   
 $20$   
 $4v = v_{12} + v_{34}$   
 $40$   
 $40$   
 $0.5$   
 $1.0$   
 $1.5$   
 $2.0$   
 $2.5$   
 $3.0$   
Magnetic Field (T)  
P. Strasser, *et al.* Proceedings for NUFACT 2014, to be published in the Proceedings of Science.

$$\nu_{12} + \nu_{34} = \Delta \nu$$

# Statistic

- Last muonium HFS measurement was at LAMPF (<u>Los Alamos</u> <u>Meson Physics Facility</u>), USA
- The muonium HFS value by the LAMPF experiment is deteriorated by insufficient statistic
- H-Line is a new high-intensity muon pulse beam facility@ J-PARC
- The statistic acquired by H-line in four days is equal to the whole statistic of LAMPF experiment
- Reduction of systematic uncertainty is important