# The Uniformity measurement of the Hamamatsu 10 inch **PMT for the IceCube** Experiment Hiroko Miyamoto

**Dept. of Physics Chiba University** 



# Our Work

Chiba university group is working for both software and hardware part.
Software – develop the Java-based propagator of high energy cosmic neutrino in the earth and the ice.
Hardware – uniformity measurement of the PMT, gain measurement @-32°C, absolute QE, etc.















_	The	Resi	ult o	f the	e Gai	in M	easu	ırem	ent	@ -3	2°C	
Model turn	2000∨	Error	1900V	Error	1800V	Error	1700V	Error	1600V	Error	1500V	Error
SF0001	4.05E+07	3.03E+06	3.03E+07	2.26E+06								
SF0004	5.05E+07	3.78E+06										
SF0010	1.21E+08	9.01E+06	8.25E+07	6.17E+06	5.80E+07	4.34E+06	4.22E+07	3.16E+06	2.00E+07	1.49E+06		
SF0016	1.24E+08	9.28E+06	8.62E+07	6.44E+06	5.92E+07	4.43E+06	4.24E+07	3.17E+06	2.11E+07	1.58E+06		
SF0023	3.83E+07	3.85E+05	2.90E+07	2.35E+05	1.71E+07	1.08E+05	1.15E+07	1.76E+05				
SF0030	4.58E+07	3.42E+06	3.21E+07	2.40E+06								
SF0037	7.56E+07	5.65E+06	5.46E+07	4.08E+06	3.97E+07	2.97E+06	2.67E+07	2.00E+06	1.32E+07	9.85E+05		
SF0043	1.11E+08	8.28E+06	7.77E+07	5.81E+06	5.53E+07	4.13E+06	3.88E+07	2.90E+06	1.98E+07	1.48E+06		
SF0050	3.79E+08	1.55E+06	2.55E+08	1.11E+06	1.69E+08	7.70E+05	1.09E+08	5.22E+05	6.78E+07	3.87E+05	4.64E+07	6.79E+06
SF0056	1.91E+08	1.43E+07	1.25E+08	9.34E+06	8.07E+07	6.04E+06	5.17E+07	3.87E+06				
SF0067	2.63E+08	1.97E+07	1.81E+08	1.36E+07	1.20E+08	8.98E+06	7.85E+07	5.87E+06	5.16E+07	3.86E+06	3.45E+07	2.61E+06
SF0070	2.14E+08	1.60E+07	1.46E+08	1.09E+07	1.02E+08	7.66E+06	6.67E+07	4.98E+06	4.64E+07	3.47E+06	2.82E+07	2.18E+06
SF0080	1.58E+08	1.18E+07	1.07E+08	7.99E+06	7.24E+07	5.41E+06	4.74E+07	3.54E+06	3.22E+07	2.41E+06	1.59E+07	1.54E+06
SF0086	2.91E+08	2.17E+07	1.93E+08	1.44E+07	1.27E+08	9.51E+06	8.16E+07	6.10E+06	5.27E+07	3.94E+06	3.28E+07	2.52E+06
See more results : http://www-ppl.s.chiba-u.jp/research/IceCube/pmt/												
screening/FY2003/index.html												







lceCube

### The Measurement (of the first 14PMTs)

 The Light Source – UV (380 nm) Nichiya LED (220Ω driving) Collimated to less than 1mm diameter on the surface of PMT.
 Flashing at the every 1.3mm point on the R-guide.
 The Pulse is formed by the Agrilent Func. Generator (100 risec)

**30photoelectrons/shots, corresponding the average of** 

3000 shots. [204 points/slice]

■PMT – Hamamatsu R7081 " –02 tube.

■HV – 2000 V, 5E7 ~ 5E8 Gain

**■Dark current ~ 404 μA @ 2000V (~363 μA @ 1800V)** 

Noise Rate ~100 Hz ~2.5kHz with 50 mV threshold @ room temperature.



## Table 2 : Possible Error

Possible Error

▶Posittion of LED (stepping motor) : $\Delta$ s	≪ ±0.1n
►Center Position : △c	< ±0.2 r
▶PMT Alignment : <i>P</i>	≤ ±5.0 r
►PMT Radius : ∆r	$= \pm 5.0 r$
►Translation from Step Number to Length on Cathode depends on the PMT Radius $: \Delta I_o$	$\simeq \pm 2.53$
► Translation from Step Number to Length on Cathode depends on the PMT Alignment : $\Delta I_{\Delta r}$	≃ ±4.02
►Total Translation from Step Number to Length on Cathode : $\Delta I_{total} \simeq sum(\Delta I_{\rho}^2 + \Delta I_{\Delta r}^2)$	≃ ±4.8 r
The total length on cathode : 26.6 cm	

#### nm

mm

mm

mm

mm

mm

mm







Calibration for data analysis
Effect of the geomagnetic field
Gain dependence
PMT by PMT difference

Results







# of crack of Magnetic Shield.



# Result 1: The effect of the geomagnetic field



# Compare the average of the effect of B shield.





Summary 1 : the effect of geomagnetic field and B shield The effect of the geomagnetic field can be seen at ~10% level. B shield reduces the effect of geomagnetic field, ~5% still remains.



# Result 2: Gain dependence 2000V vs 1800V



![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Summary 2: Gain dependence

The gain difference of the efficiency is at 5% level, which is not significant except around the edge.

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Result 3: PMT by PMT difference

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Summary 3 : PMT by PMT difference

The difference of the collection efficiency from a PMT to PMT shows the variance by 10% at maximum in the average, but, point to point difference reach 20% level.

A single PMT cannot represent all PMTs.

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Discussion:

#### 2 dimensional view of the cathode surface

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Executive Summary

Completed the 2D survey data for IceCube detector MC implementation.
Geomagnetic filed effects at ~5% level.
Gain vs Collection efficiency is not significant, less than ~5%.
PMT by PMT difference is remarkable which reach ~20% level.

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Outlook Absolute Quantum Efficiency : PMT/DOM Gain Scanning Wave Form data taking Angular Response DOM simulation

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Absolute QE measurement

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Now, we start developing new scattering box.

Now, we start developing new scattering box.
 Easy to change PMTs → Useful to calibrate many PMTs

To exchange gas, we will use vacuum pump

 $\rightarrow$  We can easily control the gas quality.

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)