ミューオン素粒子物理実験

三原 智 高エネルギー加速器研究機構 素粒子原子核研究所

はじめに

 物理の意義から様々な実験計画(金と時間 も)、技術的な課題、進捗状況等

• 国内だけでなく国際的な状況も



- g-2/EDM
 - g-2/EDM at J-PARC
 - g-2 at FNAL
 - EDM at PSI
- LFV
 - $(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$
 - μ –e conversion
 - Mu2e
 - COMET
 - DeeMe
 - $\mu \rightarrow eee$
 - μ⁻e⁻→e⁻e⁻
- Muonium HFS
- MuSIC

MUON G-2/EDM

Muon g-2/EDM at J-PARC

•
$$\Delta a_{\mu}^{(today)} = a_{\mu}^{(Exp)} - a_{\mu}^{(SM)} = (295 \pm 88) \times 10^{-11}$$

- E821 at BNL-AGS 0.7 ppm
- 3.4 sigma deviation from the SM
- 3.1 GeV/c pion 入射
- 当初はBNLのリングを移設事を検討
 海上輸送

 - 移設費試算 \$2.5M

$$\vec{\omega}_a = -\frac{e}{m} \left[a_\mu \vec{B} - \left(a_\mu - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1} \right) \frac{\vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}}{c} + \frac{\eta}{2} \left(\vec{\beta} \times \vec{B} + \frac{\vec{E}}{c} \right) \right]$$

$$a_{\mu} - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1} = 0$$

$$\gamma_{\text{magic}} = 29.3$$

 $p_{\text{magic}} = 3.09 \text{ GeV/}c$



Muon g-2/EDM at J-PARC

マジックモーメンタムをやめられないか?

・電場なしで実験出来ないか?

3 GeV proton beam (333 uA)

> Graphite target (20 mm)

> > Surface muon beam (28 MeV/c, 4x10⁸/s)

Muonium Production (300 K ~ 25 meV)



Super Precision Magnetic Field (3T, ~1ppm local precision)

Resonant Laser Ionization of Muonium (~10⁶ μ ⁺/s)

Muon LINAC (300 MeV/c)

Silicon Tracker

New Muon g-2/EDM Experiment at J-PARC with Ultra-Cold Muon Beam

BNL, FNAL, and J-PARC

complimentary

	BNL-E821	Fermilab	J-PARC
Muon momentum	3.09 GeV/c		0.3 GeV/c
gamma	29.3		3
Storage field	B=1.45 T		3.0 T
Focusing field	Electric quad		None
# of detected μ + decays	5.0E9	1.8E11	1.5E12
# of detected μ - decays	3.6E9	-	-
Precision (stat)	0.46 ppm	0.1 ppm	0.1 ppm

Muon EDM

- Direct CPV in Lepton Sector
 - CPV Required
 beyond KM
- Current Exp. Limit ~ 1e-19
- Potential Sensitivity of J-PARC Exp.
 – < 1e-21 @ MLF



ミューオン g-2/EDM実験計画 v1.1 (2010.04.30)



Cost Estimate

• Very preliminary...

Item	Cost (Oku-yen)	
Surface Muon Transport	Facility	
Ultra-Cold Muon Source		
High-power Laser System	3.0	
Initial Acceleration System	0.5	
Muon LINAC	15	
Ultra-precision Magnet		
Solenoid	10	
Field Monitor	1	
Detector System		
Silicon Tracker	1.5	
Readout Electronics	0.5	
TOTAL	32 + Facility	

Fermilab a_{μ} Experiment:

- E821 at Brookhaven
 - superferric storage ring, magic γ , $\langle B \rangle_{\theta} \pm 1$ ppm

 $\sigma_{stat} = \pm 0.46 \text{ ppm}$ $\sigma_{syst} = \pm 0.28 \text{ ppm}$ $\sigma = \pm 0.54 \text{ ppm}$

- P989 at Fermilab
 - move the storage ring to Fermilab, improved shimming, new detectors, electronics, DAQ,
 - new beam structure that takes advantage of the multiple rings available at Fermilab, more muons per hour, less per fill of the ring

 $\sigma_{stat} = \pm 0.1 \text{ ppm} \\ \sigma_{syst} = \pm 0.1 \text{ ppm} \\ \sigma_{syst} = \pm 0.1 \text{ ppm} \\ \sigma_{sust} = \pm 0.1 \text{ ppm}$

Polarized muons delivered and stored in the ring at the magic momentum, 3.094 GeV/c



New beam stub into ring

services

Needs simple building near cryo

*Can use all 20 if MI program is off

B. Lee Roberts, Caltech – 11 January 2010

-p.13/30

The 900-m long decay beam reduces the pion "flash" by x20 and leads to 6 –

12 times more stored muons per proton (compared to BNL) Flash compared to BNL

D/A AP10 Debu	uncher
Accumulator	MI-8
AP50 AP30	
AP-2 AP-3	parameter
	Y_{π} pion/p into change
AP0 527	L decay channel leng decay angle in lab sy
F2	$\delta p_{\pi}/p_{\pi}$ pion moment
F23	FODO lattice spacin
B Lee Roberts TRIUME – 7 April 2010	$\frac{\text{inflector}}{100000000000000000000000000000000000$
Jo. Lee Roberts, Hildrin / April 2010	

parameterFNAL/BNLp / fill0.25 π / p 0.4 π survive to ring0.01 π at magic P50Net0.05

F3 Store	ed Muons /	РОТ	
eter	BNL	FNAL	gain factor $FNAL/BNL$
n/p into channel acceptance	$\approx 2.7\text{E-5}$	$\approx 1.1\text{E-5}$	0.4
y channel length	88 m	$900 \mathrm{~m}$	2
angle in lab system	$3.8\pm0.5~\mathrm{mr}$	forward	3
pion momentum band	$\pm 0.5\%$	$\pm 2\%$	1.33
lattice spacing	$6.2 \mathrm{~m}$	$3.25~\mathrm{m}$	1.8
or	closed end	open end	2
			11.5

Frozen spin : muons @ PSI

Idea for PSI

- p = 125MeV/c
- N = 2 · 10⁵/s
- ▶ P = 92%
- ▶ B = 1T
- E = 0.64MV/m
- R = 42cm
- Reach: 5x10⁻²³ e · cm / y

3-4 orders below current limit



Table top experiment!

Adelmann, Kirch, Onderwater & Schietinger, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 37 085001 (2010)

Gerco Onderwater, KVI/University of Groningen

IFMFS@KEK 2010, Tsukuba, 11 June 2010

MU-E CONVERSION

Search for µ-e conversion in nuclei (plans)

Current bounds:

$$R_{\mu e}(N) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\mu^- N \to e^- N)}{\Gamma(\mu^- N \to \nu_{\mu} N')}$$

 $R_{\mu e}(\text{Ti}) < 6 \times 10^{-13}$ (SINDRUM II, 93') $R_{\mu e}(\text{Au}) < 7 \times 10^{-13}$ (SINDRUM II, 00')

Next experiments aim at Rµe $\sim 10^{-16}$.

- Mu2e (Fermilab): $R_{\mu e}(Al) \sim 6 \times 10^{-17}$
- COMET (J-parc): $R_{\mu e}(Al) \sim 5 \times 10^{-17}$
- Cf PRISM/PRIME (J-parc): $R_{\mu e}$ (Ti) ~ 10^{-18} Muon storage ring is used.

These experiments are competitive to MEG.

$$R_{\mu e} \sim 10^{-2} \times Br(\mu \to e\gamma)$$

insidential material and	bbouff.		
backward-going pi	00.0		
 Decor into marging 	hand	Bas Tarm	
transport to stoppe	ng target Teps		
	0.0	1	
 "S"-curve eliminate 	16 Basetonkertig Darsont Beland		Multi Bagel
backgrounds	asr-21E		Come
er men anderen ber			(I
antiprotists	Resordance Resolution		Balancer Balanceld
Contraction of Contraction	801-2019	Calentes	
 Tracking 		Frider Beast	
		Restanting Trends	
a second second		er e la segura de la	

(From Prebys's talk in NP08)



(From Kuno-san's talk)

LFV in decoupling case

When SUSY particle masses are larger than O(1-10) TeV, SUSY contributions to flavor changing processes are suppressed below the experimental bounds even if squark and slepton mixings are not small.

Even in this case, the Higgs exchange contributes to LFV processes, since SUSY SM has two doublet Higgs bosons. LFV Higgs coupling is generated after integrating SUSY particle at one-loop.

$$-\mathcal{L}_Y = \bar{e}_{Ri} f_{li} L_i H_1 + \bar{e}_{Ri} \Delta_{ij} L_j H_2 + h.c.$$

Tree One-loop (Babu & Kolda)



LFV in decoupling case



Lesson:

When a new particle is found, we need to check whether it has LFV interaction or not.

Mu2E @ Fermilab

Fermilab Accelerators

- The mu2e Experiment at Fermilab.
 - Proposal has been submitted.
 - CD-0
 - After the Tevatron shut-down
 - uses the antiproton accumulator ring
 - the debuncher ring to manipulate proton beam bunches







S.Mihara, Rome Seminar 2010



20/May/2010

S.Mihara, Rome Seminar 2010

COMET at J-PARC

10⁻¹⁶の感度を目指す

 J-PARCの陽子ビームを8GeVでバンチ構造を 保ったまま実験室に取り出し、muonic atomを 生成

- ビームエクスティンクション 10⁻⁹が必須
- 大アクセプタンソレノイド電磁石

Overview of the COMET Experiment



- Proton Beam
 p→π→μ
- The Muon Source
 - Proton Target
 - Pion Capture
 - Muon Transport

The Detector

- Muon Stopping Target
- Electron Transport
- Electron Detection

Experimental Space A possible layout

- Target and beam dump outside the hall
- Share the upstream proton transport line with the high p beam line
- External extinction device in the switch yard



Cost

17

2

3

35.7

2.1

2.3

3

0.5

4.7

2.3

3

75

90

(Oku JPY)

Toward Starting Experiment

- R&D work in progress
 - Detector, SC magnet, Proton extinction

Funding starting Item design & 1st year Proton beam line order of SC wires Proton beam line magnets Proton beam dump Radiation shielding for a proton beam line 2nd year Superconducting Solenoid Detector Electron tracker **3rd year** Electron calorimeter Cosmic ray shield DAQ system 4th year Infrastructure Refrigeration Pion production system and tungsten shielding 5th year engineering run Civil construction

physics run

6th year

Total

Extension of the NP experimental hall

Total (with 20% contingency)

DeeMe

COMETよりも感度が低くてもよいので、低予算でできないものか?



COMET: BR[AI] < 10-16

J-PARC MLF Muon Facility



10年6月10日木曜日

DeeMe

Another m-e conversion search at J-PARC

- Mu-e conversion electron directly comes from the target?
- 10¹⁰ muon stops/sec/MW
- Transport 105MeV/c delayed electrons
- Expected reach (crude)
 - D2 beam line (40msr)
 - 8x10⁻¹³ for C (10⁷ sec)
 - 2X10⁻¹³ for Al (10⁷ sec)
 - New beam line (150msr)
 - 10⁻¹⁴ for Al (2x10⁷ sec)
 - cf SINDRUM II limit: 7x10⁻¹³



Background

- Event signature
 - $P_{e} = 105 \text{ MeV}/c$
 - $T_e > \sim \mu sec$



- Any particle production 1µsec later than the prompt proton timing?
 - Only decay product of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$
 - Michel electron $P_e < 55 MeV/c$
- If any off-timing proton exists, that can be BG
 - Extinction $< 10^{-14}$

MLF muon beam line



- g-2
- DeeMe
- $-\mu SR$





DeeMe @ J-PARC MLF



DeeMe does not replace COMET.

DeeMe will gain momentum of muon-CLFV research field. Sound scenario to secure the world-first discovery.

10年6月10日木曜日

DeeMe コストと予定

- 1年以内にプロポーザル提出 を目標
- ビームライン建設 2-3年
- ・ データ収集 1年以上
- コストの大部分はビームライン
 - g-2, muSRとシェア
 - 最上流部のソレノイドが最も高 くて20-30億円(シールド込)
 - どんな実験をやるにせよ早く手 当しないと建設が難しくなる
 - キッカー <3億円



Kicker Spec.

- B > 385 Gauss
- Gap = 320 mm
- Width = 320 mm
- L = 400 mm
- ∆t < 300 nsec</p>

Conceptual Design on-going by KEK Accelerator Group (Matsumoto-san et al.)

"It can be built."

OTHER LFV SEARCH EXPERIMENTS



PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT

a $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ search at 10^{-16} ?

ETHZ, November 17 2008

andries van der schaaf, Zürich

Cross-section of µ→ 3e Experiment





A new idea to search for charged lepton flavor violation using a muonic atom

Masafumi Koike,^{1,*} Yoshitaka Kuno,^{2,†} Joe Sato,^{1,‡} and Masato Yamanaka^{3,§}

¹ Physics Department, Saitama University, 255 Shimo-Okubo, Sakura-ku, Saitama, Saitama 338-8570, Japan ² Department of Physics, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan ³ Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa 277-8582, Japan (Dated: March 9, 2010)



FIG. 1: The process $\mu^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-$ induced from the photonic interactions. The black dot indicates the effective interaction that is absent from the Standard Model.

MUONIUM HFS

Muonium

- Pure leptonic bound system, free from finite size effect.
- Good example for testing QED,
- HFS,1s-2s, Lamb shift

 Muonium ground state hyperfine interval measurement is related to

Determination of fine structure constant α Test of CPT and Lorentz Invariance and so on.

Possible Setup for Muonium HFS measurement J-PARC MUSE



MUSIC

MUSE vs. MuSIC

	MUSE	MuSIC
Location	J-PARC	RCNP
Beam power	1000kW	0.4kW
	Surface muon	Decay + Surface
Intensity	10 ⁸ /sec	10 ⁷ -10 ⁸ /sec
Time structure	Pulsed (25Hz)	Continuous
Beam polarization	High	Medium
Multiple use	Many channels	Only one channel



MuSIC – Muon Channel at RCNP



Magnet layout in 2010



TRIUMF In house particle physics

- Precision measurements:
- Twist :2006-7 data blind analysis results reported. Final evaluation of the results .

- Pienu:Measurement of branching ratio to .1% .Limits on pseudo-scalar part of the weak interaction Lagrangian.
- e/µ inversality

Comparisons with previous results



PIENU Experiment

Requirements

- Good beam quality → small momentum bite
- · low background
 - Trigger from beam e+
 - Píleup from beam et hitting beam or detector components



Muon Programs at LANL

Muon Active Interrogation (10M+ for FY10)

Development of large acceptance muon linac. Construction of a COMET type solenoid at LANSCE. Project's funding is doubled every year. Our budget will be equal to the US revenue in 15 years.

Muon Accelerator R&D

For muon active interrogation and also for future neutrino factory. Large acceptance muon linac.

Expecting internal funding from LANL (LDRD, 1M for FY11 - 13).

Muon to Electron Conversion Experiment (LDRD 1M)

More efficient muon production than 8 GeV and more power. Free beam (or almost free). Benefit from Muon Active Interrogation project.

Muon Electron Conversion Experiment In Front of MTS



In front of MTS using negative hydrogen beam.

If MTS were to be canceled, we could use full H⁺ beam. Obama killed Global Nuclear Energy Partnership and MTS is related to GNEP. LDRD funding approved for the design study (FY09 – 11).

Basic studies for the experiment are being carried out.

MTS macro pulse: H⁺, 17 mA peak current

 H^+

 Mu-e conversion experiment macro pulse: H⁻, 12 mA peak current, chopped to 100 ns pulse every 1-2 μs



Can get up to ~ 60kW

BACKUP

Non-SUSY models at TEVs

Many proposed TeV-scale models have new particles, which have lepton-flavor numbers or have lepton-flavor violating interactions.

SM on Randall&Sundrum BG

- SM particles propagate over curved 5th dim. space.
- Overlapping of wave functions of quark/lepton and Higgs explains hierarchical structure.
- Kaluza-Klain particles have large flavor-violating interactions.



(Agache et al)

Littlest-Higgs model with T parity

- SM Higgs is pseude NG boson.
- T parity is imposed to escape from EW precision test and also to introduce the DM candidate.
- T-odd mirror leptons/quarks have flavor-violating interactions.



(Blanke₁gt al)

What is the BSM if cLFV is found?

In SUSY SM, μ -e conversion in nuclei and , μ ->3e are dominated by photon-mediated diagrams while box and Z mediated diagrams contribute. $Br(\mu \rightarrow 3e) \simeq 7 \times 10^{-3} Br(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$

 $R_{\mu e}(\mathrm{Ti}) \simeq 6 \times 10^{-3} Br(\mu \to e\gamma)$



What is the BSM if cLFV is found?

In SUSY SM, the Higgs mediation contribution is sizable when SUSY particle masses are larger O(1-10)TeV. Ratio between μ -e conversion rate and Br(μ -e γ) is modified.



What is the BSM if cLFV is found?

Atomic number (Z) dependence of μ –e conversion rate reveals the responsible operators for muon LFV.

